

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
DAVID M. FURBUSH #83447
david.furbush@pillsburylaw.com
2550 Hanover Street
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1115
Telephone: (650) 233-4500 / Facsimile: (650) 233-4545

E-FILED 2/29/16
JS-6

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
JUSTIN L. BROSSIER #285443
justin.brossier@pillsburylaw.com
ELAINE Y. LEE #293452
elaine.lee@pillsburylaw.com
725 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 2800
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5406
Telephone: (213) 488-7100 / Facsimile: (213) 629-1033

Attorneys for Petitioner
CEEG (SHANGHAI) SOLAR SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
WESTERN DIVISION- LOS ANGELES

CEEG (SHANGHAI) SOLAR
SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY CO.,
LTD.,

Petitioner,

vs.

SUNVALLEY SOLAR, INC.,

Respondent.

Case No. 2:15-cv-07339-PSG
(JPR)_____

~~[PROPOSED]~~ JUDGMENT
CONFIRMING ARBITRATION
AWARD

Courtroom: 880
Judge: Hon. Philip S. Gutierrez

1 Petitioner CEEG (Shanghai) Solar Science & Technology Co., Ltd.’s
2 (“Petitioner”) Petition to Confirm Foreign Arbitration Award (“Petition”) is
3 pending before the Court (Dkt. No. 1). Having carefully considered the papers
4 filed in support of and in opposition to the Petition, as well as to Petitioner’s
5 Motion to Confirm Arbitration Award, the Court enters judgment as follows:

6 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:**

7 Judgment is hereby entered in favor of Petitioner against Respondent
8 Sunvalley Solar, Inc. (“Respondent”) in accordance with the Arbitral Award
9 issued by the Shanghai International Economic and Trade Arbitration
10 Commission on December 10, 2013, Case No. SG2013015 (Dkt. No. 5,
11 Exhibit 1), and in the following amounts:

12 (i) USD \$1,000,000.00, representing the principal amount awarded
13 to Petitioner under the Arbitral Award, plus interest awarded by SIETAC,
14 RMB 1,132,093.00 as of December 10, 2013, and interest in the amount of
15 RMB 995,068.31, calculated at the applicable rate under the Arbitral Award
16 from December 10, 2013 through the date of filing of the proposed judgment
17 in this case;

18 (ii) RMB 520,900.00, representing the currency exchange loss
19 awarded to Petitioner under the Arbitral Award;

20 (iii) RMB 185,955.80, representing the attorneys’ fees in connection
21 with the SIETAC arbitration awarded to Petitioner under the Arbitral Award;
22 and

23 (iv) RMB 186,283.00 representing the arbitration fees awarded to
24 Petitioner under the Arbitral Award; and

25 (v) Post-judgment interest, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1961, which
26 amounts to the sum of USD \$13.97 plus RMB 42.20 per day after entry of
27 judgment, compounded annually, based on the applicable interest rate as of the
28

1 date of filing of the proposed judgment.
2

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.
4

5 Dated: 2/29, 2016

PHILIP S. GUTIERREZ

Hon. Philip S. Gutierrez
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28